

## On the Set of Associated Primes of a Local Cohomology Module

M. Hellus

metadata, citation and similar papers at [core.ac.uk](http://core.ac.uk)

*Communicated by Craig Huneke*

Received May 19, 2000

Assume  $R$  is a local Cohen–Macaulay ring. It is shown that  $\text{Ass}_R(H_I^l(R))$  is finite for any ideal  $I$  and any integer  $l$  provided  $\text{Ass}_R(H_{(x,y)}^2(R))$  is finite for any  $x, y \in R$  and  $\text{Ass}_R(H_{(x_1, x_2, y)}^3(R))$  is finite for any  $y \in R$  and any regular sequence  $x_1, x_2 \in R$ . Furthermore it is shown that  $\text{Ass}_R(H_I^l(R))$  is always finite if  $\dim(R) \leq 3$ . The same statement is even true for  $\dim(R) \leq 4$  if  $R$  is almost factorial. © 2001 Academic Press

Cohomology theory is an important part of algebraic geometry. If one considers local cohomology on an affine scheme with support in a closed subset, everything can be expressed in terms of rings, ideals, and modules. More precisely, let  $R$  be a noetherian ring and let  $I$  be an ideal of  $R$  (determining a closed subset of  $\text{Spec}(R)$ ): In this situation one studies the local cohomology modules  $H_I^l(M)$ , where  $l$  is a natural number and  $M$  is any  $R$ -module. As these local cohomology modules behave well under localization, one often restricts the above situation to the case  $R$  is a local ring.

As the structure of local cohomology modules in general seems to be quite mysterious, one tries to establish finiteness properties providing a better understanding of these modules. Finiteness properties of local cohomology modules have been studied by several authors; see for example Brodmann and Lashgari Faghani [1], Huneke and Koh [5], Huneke and Sharp [6], Lyubeznik [8], and Singh [11]. For a survey of results see Huneke [7].

Throughout this paper  $(R, \mathfrak{m})$  is a local noetherian ring and  $I$  is an ideal of  $R$ . We deal with the question of whether the set of associated



primes of every local cohomology module  $H_l^i(R)$  is finite. As local cohomology modules in general are not finitely generated, this is an interesting question. For example if  $R$  is a regular local ring containing a field then  $H_l^i(R)$  (for  $l \geq 1$ ) is finitely generated only if it vanishes. This is true, because Lyubeznik [8, 9] proved

$$\operatorname{injdim}(H_l^i(R)) \leq \dim(\operatorname{Supp}_R(H_l^i(R)))$$

for any ideal  $I$  and any  $l$ . Now if  $0 \neq H_l^i(R)$  was finitely generated, we would have from [10, Theorem 18.9],

$$\dim(R) = \operatorname{depth}(R) = \operatorname{injdim}(H_l^i(R)) \leq \dim(\operatorname{Supp}_R(H_l^i(R))) \leq \dim(R)$$

and consequently  $\operatorname{Supp}_R(H_l^i(R)) = \operatorname{Spec}(R)$ , contradicting  $l \geq 1$ .

In [3] Grothendieck conjectured that at least  $\operatorname{Hom}_R(R/I, H_l^i(R))$  is always finitely generated, but soon Hartshorne was able to present the following counterexample to Grothendieck's conjecture (see [4] for details and a proof): Let  $k$  be a field, let  $R = k[X, Y, Z, W]/(XY - ZW) = k[x, y, z, w]$ , and let  $I$  be the ideal  $(x, z) \subseteq R$ . Then  $\operatorname{Hom}_R(R/I, H_l^2(R))$  is not finitely generated.

However, in Hartshorne's example the ring  $R$  is not regular. Thus the question arises whether Grothendieck's conjecture is true at least in the regular case. In this context there is a theorem [5, Theorem 2.3(ii); 8, Corollary 3.5] stating that if  $I$  is an ideal of a regular ring  $R$  which contains a field and  $b$  is the maximum of the heights of all primes minimal over  $I$  then for  $l > b$ ,  $\operatorname{Hom}_R(R/I, H_l^i(R))$  is finitely generated if and only if  $H_l^i(R) = 0$ .

Using this theorem one can give a counterexample to Grothendieck's conjecture in the regular case, an idea which is due to Hochster:

Let  $k$  be a field of characteristic zero, let  $R = k[[X_1, \dots, X_6]]$  be a power series ring in six variables, and let  $I_\Delta$  be the ideal generated by the  $2 \times 2$ -minors of the matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} X_1 & X_2 & X_3 \\ X_4 & X_5 & X_6 \end{pmatrix}.$$

It can be seen that  $I_\Delta$  has pure height two and that  $H_{I_\Delta}^3(R)$  does not vanish. Now the above theorem implies  $\operatorname{Hom}_R(R/I, H_{I_\Delta}^3(R))$  is not finitely generated. But Theorem 7(a) shows that at least the set of associated primes of  $\operatorname{Hom}_R(R/I, H_{I_\Delta}^3(R))$  (which is the same as  $\operatorname{Ass}_R(H_{I_\Delta}^3(R))$ ) is finite.

So one may wonder if any local cohomology module has only finitely many associated primes. In [7] Huneke conjectured the following: If  $R$  is a

local noetherian ring, then  $\text{Ass}_R(H_I^l(R))$  is finite for any  $I$  and any  $l$ . This paper deals with a weaker version of Huneke's conjecture:

*Conjecture (\*)*. If  $R$  is a local Cohen–Macaulay ring, then  $\text{Ass}_R(H_I^l(R))$  is finite for any  $I$  and any  $l$ .

Our main result is:

**THEOREM 6.** *If  $R$  is a local Cohen–Macaulay ring, the following are equivalent:*

- (i) *(\*) is true for  $R$ .*
- (ii) *The following two conditions are fulfilled:*
  - (a)  $\text{Ass}_R(H_{(x,y)}^2(R))$  *is finite for every*  $x, y \in R$ .
  - (b)  $\text{Ass}(H_{(x_1, x_2, y)}^3(R))$  *is finite, whenever*  $x_1, x_2 \in R$  *is a regular sequence and*  $y \in R$ .

*In Remark 2 it is shown that in the regular case condition (ii), (a) is always satisfied. In fact at this point we will not assume that  $R$  is regular. We only need  $R$  to be a so-called almost factorial ring, which is weaker than being factorial.*

Besides this main result conjecture (\*) is proved in several special cases, for example in case  $\dim(R) \leq 3$  or furthermore in case  $\dim(R) \leq 4$  provided  $R$  is almost factorial.

Before going into the details, we remark that in the sequel we use a certain (first-quadrant cohomological) spectral-sequence, the so-called Groethendieck spectral-sequence for composed functors:

If  $I$  and  $J$  are ideals of a noetherian ring  $R$ , there is a converging spectral-sequence

$$E_2^{p,q} = H_I^p(H_J^q(M)) \Rightarrow H_{I+J}^{p+q}(M)$$

for every  $R$ -module  $M$ : This is true because  $\Gamma_J$  of an injective module is injective again, where  $\Gamma_J(M)$  is defined as the submodule  $\{m \in M \mid J^n \cdot m = 0 \text{ for some } n\}$  of  $M$  (for details see [12, Theorem 5.8.3]).

We now start our examination of conjecture (\*): At least for the spot  $l = \text{depth}(I, R)$  there are only finitely many associated primes:

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $(R, \mathfrak{m})$  be a noetherian local ring, let  $M$  be a finitely generated  $R$ -module, and let  $I \subseteq R$  be an ideal. Set  $t = \text{depth}(I, M)$ . Then*

$$\text{Ass}_R(H_I^t(M)) \subseteq \text{Ass}_R(\text{Ext}_R^t(R/I, M))$$

*and so  $\text{Ass}_R(H_I^t(M))$  is finite.*

*Proof.* Choose  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass}_R(H_I^t(M))$  arbitrarily. Because of  $H_{IR_{\mathfrak{p}}}^t(M_{\mathfrak{p}}) \neq 0$  we must have  $t = \text{depth}(IR_{\mathfrak{p}}, M_{\mathfrak{p}})$  and so we may assume  $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{m}$ . Considering the structure of  $H_I^t(M)$  as a direct limit of certain Ext-modules we conclude

$$\text{Hom}_R(R/\mathfrak{m}, \text{Ext}_R^t(R/I^n, M)) \neq 0$$

for some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Let  $x_1, \dots, x_t \in I$  be a regular sequence. Using well-known formulas concerning Ext we get

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\neq \text{Hom}_R(R/\mathfrak{m}, \text{Ext}_R^t(R/I^n, M)) \\ &= \text{Hom}_R(R/\mathfrak{m}, \text{Hom}_R(R/I^n, M/(x_1^n, \dots, x_t^n)M)) \\ &= \text{Hom}_R(R/\mathfrak{m}, \text{Hom}_R(R/I, M/(x_1^n, \dots, x_t^n)M)) \\ &= \text{Hom}_R(R/\mathfrak{m}, \text{Ext}_R^t(R/I, M)). \end{aligned}$$

Now it follows that  $\mathfrak{m} \in \text{Ass}_R(\text{Ext}_R^t(R/I, M))$ .

A theorem established by Brodmann and Lashgari Faghani [1, Proposition 2.1] states something more general: Let  $R$  be a noetherian ring, let  $\alpha \subseteq R$  be an ideal, and let  $M$  be a finitely generated  $R$ -module. Furthermore, let  $i \in \mathbb{N}$  be given such that  $H_{\alpha}^j(M)$  is finitely generated for all  $j < i$  and let  $N \subseteq H_{\alpha}^i(M)$  be a finitely generated submodule. Then, the set  $\text{Ass}_R(H_{\alpha}^i(M)/N)$  is finite.

**LEMMA 1.** *Let  $R$  be a noetherian ring, let  $M$  be an  $R$ -module, and let  $I, J$  be ideals of  $R$  with  $\sqrt{I} \subseteq \sqrt{J}$ . Then*

$$H_I^l(M) = H_I^l(M/\Gamma_J(M))$$

for any  $l \geq 1$ .

*Proof.* Considering the long exact  $\Gamma_I$ -cohomology-sequence belonging to

$$0 \rightarrow \Gamma_J(M) \rightarrow M \rightarrow M/\Gamma_J(M) \rightarrow 0,$$

we see it suffices to show  $H_I^l(\Gamma_J(M)) = 0$  for  $l \geq 1$ . Writing  $M$  as the union of its finitely generated submodules, we reduce to the case  $M$  itself is finitely generated, so that  $\Gamma_J(M)$  is an  $R/J^n$ -module ( $n \gg 0$ ). Consequently

$$H_I^l(\Gamma_J(M)) = H_{I(R/J^n)}^l(\Gamma_J(M)) = H_{(0)}^l(\Gamma_J(M)) = 0.$$

Theorem 1 treated the case  $l = \text{depth}(I, R)$ , and our next theorem deals with the case  $l = 1$ :

**THEOREM 2.** *Let  $R$  be a noetherian local ring, let  $I \subseteq R$  be an ideal, and let  $M$  be a finitely generated  $R$ -module. Then  $\text{Ass}_R(H_I^1(M))$  is contained in  $\text{Ass}_R(\text{Ext}_R^1(R/I, M/\Gamma_I(M)))$  and hence is finite.*

*Proof.* From Lemma 1 we get

$$H_I^1(M) = H_I^1(M/\Gamma_I(M))$$

and  $\Gamma_I(M/\Gamma_I(M)) = 0$  implies  $\text{depth}(I, M/\Gamma_I(M)) \geq 1$ . So Theorem 2 becomes a corollary of Theorem 1.

The next theorem shows that in studying conjecture (\*), it suffices to examine  $H_I^j(R)$  when  $\text{height}(I)$  equals  $j - 1$  or  $j$ .

**THEOREM 3.** *Let  $(R, \mathfrak{m})$  be a local Cohen–Macaulay ring, let  $I \subseteq R$  be an ideal, let  $j > \text{height}(I)$ , and let  $H_I^j(R) \neq 0$ . Then there exists an ideal  $\tilde{I} \supseteq I$  of height  $j - 1$  such that the natural homomorphism*

$$H_I^j(R) \rightarrow H_{\tilde{I}}^j(R)$$

*becomes an isomorphism.*

*Proof.* We may assume  $\text{height}(I) < j - 1$ . Set  $t = \text{height}(I)$  and let  $x_1, \dots, x_t \in I$  be a regular sequence. We denote the associated primes of  $R/(x_1, \dots, x_t)$  by  $\mathfrak{p}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_n$ , enumerated in such a way that

$$I \subseteq \mathfrak{p}_1 \cap \dots \cap \mathfrak{p}_r,$$

$$I \not\subseteq \mathfrak{p}_{r+1}, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_n.$$

We necessarily have  $r < n$ , because otherwise  $\sqrt{I} = \sqrt{(x_1, \dots, x_t)}$  and consequently  $H_I^j(R) = 0$ , contrary to the assumptions. Using prime avoidance we choose

$$y \in (\mathfrak{p}_{r+1} \cap \dots \cap \mathfrak{p}_n) \setminus (\mathfrak{p}_1 \cup \dots \cup \mathfrak{p}_r)$$

and consider the Mayer–Vietoris sequence with respect to the ideals  $(y)$ ,  $I$  and the  $R$ -module  $H_{(x_1, \dots, x_t)}^t(R) =: M$ :

$$\begin{aligned} H_{I \cap (y)}^{j-t-1}(M) &\rightarrow H_{(I, y)}^{j-t}(M) \rightarrow H_I^{j-t}(M) \oplus H_{(y)}^{j-t}(M) \\ &\rightarrow H_{I \cap (y)}^{j-t}(M). \end{aligned}$$

In the sequel we write  $(\underline{x})$  for the ideal  $(x_1, \dots, x_t)$  of  $R$ . Because  $j - t \geq 2$  and  $I \cap (y) \subseteq \sqrt{(\underline{x})}$  it follows that  $H_{(y)}^{j-t} = 0$  and both the leftmost and rightmost term in this sequence vanish; so the second arrow is

an isomorphism. Using the spectral-sequences for the composed functors  $\Gamma_{(I,y)} \circ \Gamma_{(\underline{x})}$  and  $\Gamma_I \circ \Gamma_{(\underline{x})}$  we conclude

$$\begin{aligned} H_{(I,y)}^j(R) &= H_{(I,y)}^{j-t}(M) \\ &= H_I^{j-t}(M) \\ &= H_I^j(R). \end{aligned}$$

By construction  $\text{height}(I, y) = \text{height}(I) + 1$ . Now the statement of the theorem follows inductively.

The following corollary is the first step in a series of reductions of conjecture (\*):

**COROLLARY 1.** *Let  $(R, \mathfrak{m})$  be a local Cohen–Macaulay ring and let  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then the following two statements are equivalent:*

- (i)  $\text{Ass}_R(H_I^j(R))$  is finite for each ideal  $I \subseteq R$ .
- (ii)  $\text{Ass}_R(H_I^j(R))$  is finite for each ideal  $I \subseteq R$  satisfying  $\text{height}(I) = j - 1$ .

*Proof.* This follows immediately from Theorem 3.

Using the ideas of the proof of Theorem 3 one can show that  $H_I^j(R)$  has only finitely many associated primes of height  $j$ :

**COROLLARY 2.** *Let  $(R, \mathfrak{m})$  be a local Cohen–Macaulay ring, let  $I$  be an ideal of  $R$ , and let  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then*

$$\text{Supp}_R(H_I^j(R)) \cap \{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(R) \mid \text{height}(\mathfrak{p}) = j\}$$

*is finite and therefore  $H_I^j(R)$  has only finitely many associated prime ideals of height  $j$ .*

*Proof.* We may assume  $\text{height}(I) \leq j - 1$ . Because of Theorem 3 we may even assume that the height of  $I$  equals  $j - 1$ . Let  $x_1, \dots, x_{j-1} \in I$  be a regular sequence and let  $\mathfrak{p}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_n$  be the associated primes of  $R/(x_1, \dots, x_{j-1})$ , enumerated in such a way that we have

$$\begin{aligned} I &\subseteq \mathfrak{p}_1 \cap \dots \cap \mathfrak{p}_r, \\ I &\not\subseteq \mathfrak{p}_{r+1}, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_n. \end{aligned}$$

We assume  $r < n$  (if  $r = n$  we have  $\sqrt{I} = \sqrt{(\underline{x})}$  and therefore  $H_I^j(R) = 0$ ). Set  $J := \mathfrak{p}_{r+1} \cap \dots \cap \mathfrak{p}_n$  and consider the following part of a Mayer–Vietoris sequence:

$$H_{I+J}^j(R) \rightarrow H_I^j(R) \oplus H_J^j(R) \rightarrow H_{(x_1, \dots, x_{j-1})}^j(R) = 0.$$

It follows that  $\text{Supp}_R(H_I^j(R)) \subseteq \mathcal{V}(I + J)$ . As  $\text{height}(I + J) \geq j$ , there are only finitely many primes of height  $j$  in  $\text{Supp}_R(H_I^j(R))$ .

The methods we have developed so far suffice to prove conjecture (\*) in the case  $\dim(R) \leq 3$ :

**COROLLARY 3.** *Let  $R$  be a local Cohen–Macaulay ring of dimension at most three, let  $I$  be an ideal of  $R$ , and let  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then  $H_I^j(R)$  has only finitely many associated primes.*

*Proof.*

*Case  $\dim(R) = 2$ .* If  $j = 2$ , the statement follows immediately from Theorems 1 and 3. The case  $j = 1$  is done by Theorem 2.

*Case  $\dim(R) = 3$ .* The case  $j = 3$  follows at once from Theorems 1 and 3.  $j = 1$  is again done by Theorem 2. If  $j = 2$ , we assume  $\text{height}(I) = 1$  by Theorem 2. Now the statement follows from Corollary 2.

**LEMMA 2.** *Let  $I$  be an ideal of a noetherian ring  $R$  and let  $M$  be any  $R$ -module. Then  $\text{Ass}_R(M/\Gamma_I(M)) = \text{Ass}_R(M) \cap (\text{Spec}(R) \setminus \mathcal{V}(I))$ .*

*Proof.* If  $\mathfrak{p}$  is associated to  $M/\Gamma_I(M)$  we get from an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow R/\mathfrak{p} \rightarrow M/\Gamma_I(M)$$

an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \Gamma_I(R/\mathfrak{p}) \rightarrow \Gamma_I(M/\Gamma_I(M)) = 0$$

and consequently  $\mathfrak{p}$  does not contain  $I$ . Choose  $m \in M$  satisfying  $\Gamma_I(M) : m = \mathfrak{p}$ . Localizing we conclude

$$0 : \frac{m}{1} = \Gamma_{IR_{\mathfrak{p}}}(M_{\mathfrak{p}}) : \frac{m}{1} = \mathfrak{p} R_{\mathfrak{p}}.$$

From our assumptions it follows that  $\frac{m}{1} \neq 0$ , because otherwise there would exist  $s \in R \setminus \mathfrak{p}$  with  $sm = 0$ , contradicting  $\Gamma_I(M) : m = \mathfrak{p}$ . Hence  $\mathfrak{p} R_{\mathfrak{p}} \in \text{Ass}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}(M_{\mathfrak{p}})$ ; equivalently  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass}_R(M)$ .

On the other hand, if we choose  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass}_R(M) \cap (\text{Spec}(R) \setminus \mathcal{V}(I))$ ,  $\mathfrak{p}$  cannot be associated to  $\Gamma_I(M)$  and consequently must be associated to  $M/\Gamma_I(M)$  (consider  $0 \rightarrow \Gamma_I(M) \rightarrow M \rightarrow M/\Gamma_I(M) \rightarrow 0$  exact).

**LEMMA 3.** *Let  $I$  be an ideal of a local Cohen–Macaulay ring  $R$  and set  $l = \text{height}(I) + 1$ . Let  $\mathfrak{p}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_n$  be the elements of  $\{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(R) \mid \mathfrak{p} \text{ minimal over } I \text{ and } \text{height}(\mathfrak{p}) = \text{height}(I)\}$ . Set  $I^{\text{pure}} := \mathfrak{p}_1 \cap \dots \cap \mathfrak{p}_n$ . Then finiteness of  $\text{Ass}_R(H_{I^{\text{pure}}}^l(R))$  implies finiteness of  $\text{Ass}_R(H_I^l(R))$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $q_1, \dots, q_m$  be the elements of  $\{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(R) \mid \mathfrak{p} \text{ minimal over } I \text{ and } \text{height}(\mathfrak{p}) > \text{height}(I)\}$  (without restriction assume  $m \geq 1$ ) and set  $I'' := q_1 \cap \dots \cap q_m$ . Then  $\sqrt{I} = I^{\text{pure}} \cap I''$ . Consider the Mayer-Vietoris sequence

$$H_{I^{\text{pure}} + I''}^l(R) \rightarrow H_{I^{\text{pure}}}^l(R) \oplus H_{I''}^l(R) \rightarrow H_I^l(R) \rightarrow H_{I^{\text{pure}} + I''}^{l+1}(R).$$

As by construction  $\text{height}(I^{\text{pure}} + I'') \geq \text{height}(I) + 2 = l + 1$ , the leftmost term in this sequence vanishes and the rightmost term has only finitely many associated primes. Furthermore  $\text{height}(I'') \geq \text{height}(I) + 1 = l$  and so  $H_{I''}^l(R)$  has only finitely many associated prime ideals. Now the statement of the lemma is obvious.

Now we are in a position to give the next reduction of conjecture (\*), which roughly spoken says one may restrict to the case  $j = \mu(I)$  when examining  $\text{Ass}_R(H_j^i(R))$ :

**THEOREM 4.** *Let  $(R, \mathfrak{m})$  be a local Cohen-Macaulay ring and let  $t \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then the following two statements are equivalent:*

(i)  $H_I^{t+1}(R)$  has only finitely many associated prime ideals for each ideal  $I$  of  $R$ .

(ii) Whenever  $x_1, \dots, x_t \in R$  is a regular sequence and  $y \in R$ , the module  $H_{(x_1, \dots, x_t, y)}^{t+1}(R)$  has only finitely many associated prime ideals.

*Proof.* Assume condition (ii) is satisfied and let  $I$  be an arbitrary ideal of  $R$ . We have to show  $\text{Ass}_R(H_I^{t+1}(R))$  is finite. Using Corollary 1 we may assume  $\text{height}(I) = t$ . Using Lemma 3 we can even assume that all primes minimal over  $I$  have height  $t$ .

Let  $x_1, \dots, x_t \in I$  be a regular sequence and denote the primes minimal over  $I$  by  $\mathfrak{p}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_n$ . These are also minimal over  $(x_1, \dots, x_t)$ . Let  $q_1, \dots, q_m$  be the other primes minimal over  $(x_1, \dots, x_t)$  (that is, the ones not containing  $I$ ). As all the ideals  $\mathfrak{p}_i$  and  $q_j$  have height  $t$ , we may choose a

$$y' \in (\mathfrak{p}_1 \cap \dots \cap \mathfrak{p}_n) \setminus (q_1 \cup \dots \cup q_m).$$

Now a suitable power  $y$  of  $y'$  will satisfy

$$y \in I \setminus (q_1 \cup \dots \cup q_m).$$

By using Lemma 2 it follows that  $y$  is not in any prime ideal associated to the  $R$ -module  $(R/(x_1^s, \dots, x_t^s))/\Gamma_I(R/(x_1^s, \dots, x_t^s))$  ( $s \in \mathbb{N}$  arbitrary). Consequently  $y$  operates injectively on  $(R/(x_1^s, \dots, x_t^s))/\Gamma_I(R/(x_1^s, \dots, x_t^s))$ .



From the exactness of the direct limit-functor we conclude that  $y$  operates injectively on

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{s \in N} \left[ (R/(x_1^s, \dots, x_t^s)) / \Gamma_I(R/(x_1^s, \dots, x_t^s)) \right] \\ &= \lim_{s \in N} (R/(x_1^s, \dots, x_t^s)) / \Gamma_I \left( \lim_{s \in N} (R/(x_1^s, \dots, x_t^s)) \right) \\ &= H_{(x_1, \dots, x_t)}^t(R) / \Gamma_I(H_{(x_1, \dots, x_t)}^t(R)). \end{aligned}$$

Call this property of  $y$   $(*)$ . From well-known spectral-sequence arguments it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} H_I^{t+1}(R) &= H_I^1(H_{(x_1, \dots, x_t)}^t(R)) \\ &\stackrel{(+)}{=} H_I^1(H_{(x_1, \dots, x_t)}^t(R) / \Gamma_I(H_{(x_1, \dots, x_t)}^t(R))) \\ &\stackrel{(**)}{=} \Gamma_I(H_{(y)}^1(H_{(x_1, \dots, x_t)}^t(R) / \Gamma_I(H_{(x_1, \dots, x_t)}^t(R)))) \\ &\subseteq H_{(y)}^1(H_{(x_1, \dots, x_t)}^t(R) / \Gamma_I(H_{(x_1, \dots, x_t)}^t(R))) \\ &\stackrel{(+)}{=} H_{(y)}^1(H_{(x_1, \dots, x_t)}^t(R)) \\ &= H_{(x_1, \dots, x_t, y)}^{t+1}(R). \end{aligned}$$

The two equalities  $(+)$  follow from Lemma 1. The above inclusion finishes our proof, since we can conclude

$$|\text{Ass}_R(H_I^{t+1}(R))| \leq |H_{(x_1, \dots, x_t, y)}^{t+1}(R)| < \infty.$$

Using the various statements established so far, we can prove conjecture  $(*)$  in the case  $R$  is regular of dimension at most four (cf. Theorem 5); in fact we do not actually need  $R$  to be regular. We will only use the fact that every height one prime ideal is principal up to radical; this is true if  $R$  is a Krull domain whose divisor class group is torsion (cf. [2, Proposition 6.8]). Krull domains whose divisor class group is torsion are usually called almost factorial. In particular if  $R$  is factorial, it is almost factorial.

**THEOREM 5.** *Let  $R$  be a local almost factorial Cohen–Macaulay ring of dimension at most four, let  $I$  be an ideal of  $R$ , and let  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then  $H_I^j(R)$  has only finitely many associated primes; that is, in these cases conjecture  $(*)$  is true.*

*Proof.* We may restrict ourselves to the case  $\dim(R) = 4$ . The case  $j = 0$  is trivial,  $j = 1$  follows from Theorem 2,  $j = 3$  follows from our

Corollaries 1 and 2, and  $j = 4$  follows from Theorem 3. In the remaining case  $j = 2$  we may assume  $\text{height}(I) = 1$  (Theorem 3). Using Lemma 3, we may even assume that all primes minimal over  $I$  have height one. In our case this means that  $I$  is principal up to radical and so  $H_I^2(R) = 0$ .

Theorem 6 is our final reduction of conjecture (\*), allowing us to restrict ourselves to the examination of “two” special cases (for the regular case, see Remark 2):

**THEOREM 6.** *Let  $R$  be a local Cohen–Macaulay ring. Then the following two statements are equivalent:*

(i)  $H_I^j(R)$  has only finitely many associated prime ideals for each ideal  $I$  of  $R$  and each  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ .

(ii) The following two conditions are satisfied:

(a)  $\text{Ass}_R(H_{(x,y)}^2(R))$  is finite for every  $x, y \in R$ .

(b)  $\text{Ass}_R(H_{(x_1, x_2, y)}^3(R))$  is finite whenever  $x_1, x_2 \in R$  is a regular sequence and  $y \in R$ .

*Proof.* We only have to show (ii) implies (i): We do this by induction on  $j$ :

•  $j = 0$ : Easy.

•  $j = 1$ : Theorem 2.

•  $j = 2, 3$ : Theorem 4.

•  $j \geq 4$ : Using Theorem 4 we assume that  $I = (x_1, \dots, x_j)$  (for some  $x_1, \dots, x_j \in R$ ). Here  $[ ]$  means Gaussian brackets; that is,  $[q] := \max\{i \in \mathbb{Z} \mid i \leq q\}$  for rational  $q$ . Set  $I' := (x_1, \dots, x_{[j/2]})$ ,  $I'' := (x_{[j/2]+1}, \dots, x_j) \subseteq R$  ideals and consider the following Mayer–Vietoris sequence:

$$H_{I'}^{j-1}(R) \oplus H_{I''}^{j-1}(R) \rightarrow H_{I' \cap I''}^{j-1}(R) \rightarrow H_I^j(R) \rightarrow H_{I'}^j(R) \oplus H_{I''}^j(R).$$

Combined with our induction hypothesis (using  $j-1 \geq j - ([j/2] + 1) + 1$ ) we get from this an isomorphism

$$H_{I' \cap I''}^{j-1}(R) \rightarrow H_I^j(R).$$

Another application of our induction hypothesis finishes the proof of the theorem.

**Remark 1.** (i) Let  $R$  be a local Cohen–Macaulay ring, let  $n \in \{2, 3\}$ , and let  $x_1, \dots, x_n \in R$ . Now from  $|\text{Ass}_R(H_{(x_1, \dots, x_n)}^n(R))| < \infty$  conjecture (\*) would follow. We can write the module  $H_{(x_1, \dots, x_n)}^n(R)$  in another way. First we have

$$H_{(x_1, \dots, x_n)}^n(R) = H_{(x_1)}^1(H_{(x_2, \dots, x_n)}^{n-1}(R))$$

and from the right-exactness of  $H_{(x_1)}^1$  we may conclude

$$H_{(x_1)}^1(H_{(x_2, \dots, x_n)}^{n-1}(R)) = H_{(x_1)}^1(R) \otimes_R H_{(x_2, \dots, x_n)}^{n-1}(R).$$

An easy induction proof gives us

$$\begin{aligned} H_{(x_1, \dots, x_n)}^n(R) &= H_{(x_1)}^1(R) \otimes_R \cdots \otimes_R H_{(x_n)}^1(R) \\ &= (R_{x_1}/R) \otimes_R \cdots \otimes_R (R_{x_n}/R). \end{aligned}$$

So for conjecture (\*) it is sufficient to prove

$$|\text{Ass}_R((R_{x_1}/R) \otimes_R \cdots \otimes_R (R_{x_n}/R))| < \infty$$

for  $n \in \{2, 3\}$ .

(ii) Consider the complete case; that is,  $R$  is a local complete Cohen–Macaulay ring. Similar to Theorem 6, condition (ii) assume  $t \in \{1, 2\}$ ,  $x_1, \dots, x_t \in R$  a regular sequence, and  $y \in R$ . Consider  $R$  as an  $R[[T]]$ -module via the  $R$ -algebra homomorphism  $R[[T]] \rightarrow R$  sending  $T$  to  $y$ . We then calculate

$$\begin{aligned} H_{(x_1, \dots, x_t, y)}^{t+1}(R) &= H_{(x_1, \dots, x_t, T)}^{t+1}(R) \\ &= H_{(x_1, \dots, x_t, T)}^{t+1}(R[[T]]/(T - y)) \\ &= H_{(x_1, \dots, x_t, T)}^{t+1}(R[[T]])/(T - y)H_{(x_1, \dots, x_t, T)}^{t+1}(R[[T]]). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $x_1, \dots, x_t, T \in R[[T]]$  is a regular sequence, it is in the complete case sufficient (for conjecture (\*)) to show that whenever  $t \in \{2, 3\}$ ,  $x_1, \dots, x_t \in R$  is a regular sequence and whenever  $y \in R$  we have

$$|\text{Ass}_R(H_{(x_1, \dots, x_t)}^t(R)/yH_{(x_1, \dots, x_t)}^t(R))| < \infty.$$

*Remark 2.* If  $R$  is an almost factorial local ring, condition (a) from Theorem 6(ii) is automatically fulfilled. To show this we may, with respect to Theorem 3, assume  $\text{height}(x, y) = 1$ . Using Lemma 3 we may even assume that all primes minimal over  $(x, y)$  have height one. As  $R$  is almost factorial, it follows that  $(x, y)$  is principal up to radical and so  $H_{(x, y)}^2(R) = 0$ .

The remaining Theorems 7 and 8 prove conjecture (\*) in certain generic cases (where  $R/I$  is Cohen–Macaulay); Theorem 7 treats the equicharacteristic case and Theorem 8 deals with mixed characteristics.

THEOREM 7. (a) Let  $k$  be a field, let  $R = k[[X_1, \dots, X_6]]$  be a power series ring in six indeterminates, let  $\Delta_1 := X_2X_6 - X_3X_5$ ,  $\Delta_2 := X_1X_6 - X_3X_4$ , and  $\Delta_3 := X_1X_5 - X_2X_4$  (these are the  $2 \times 2$ -minors of the matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} X_1 & X_2 & X_3 \\ X_4 & X_5 & X_6 \end{pmatrix}),$$

and let  $I$  be the ideal  $(\Delta_1, \Delta_2, \Delta_3) \subseteq R$ . Then  $\text{Supp}_R(H_I^3(R)) \subseteq \{(X_1, \dots, X_6)\}$  and consequently  $\text{Ass}_R(H_I^3(R))$  is finite.

(b) Let  $R$  be a local equicharacteristic Cohen–Macaulay ring and let  $x_1, \dots, x_6 \in R$  be a regular sequence. Let  $\delta_1 := x_2x_6 - x_3x_5$ ,  $\delta_2 := x_1x_6 - x_3x_4$ ,  $\delta_3 := x_1x_5 - x_2x_4$ , and let  $I$  be the ideal  $(\delta_1, \delta_2, \delta_3) \subseteq R$ . Then  $\text{Ass}_R(H_I^3(R))$  is finite.

*Proof.* (a) It is well known that  $R/I$  is a Cohen–Macaulay domain of dimension 4. Consequently  $I$  is a prime ideal of height two. From [10, Theorem 30.4(ii)] it follows that

$$\text{Sing}(R/(\Delta_1)) \subseteq \{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(R/(\Delta_1)) \mid \mathfrak{p} \supseteq (X_2, X_6, X_3, X_5)\}.$$

Here  $\text{Sing}(R/(\Delta_1))$  means the set of all primes  $\mathfrak{p}$  satisfying  $(R/(\Delta_1))_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is not regular. Furthermore we have

$$\text{Sing}(R/(\Delta_2)) \subseteq \{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(R/(\Delta_1)) \mid \mathfrak{p} \supseteq (X_1, X_6, X_3, X_4)\}$$

and

$$\text{Sing}(R/(\Delta_3)) \subseteq \{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(R/(\Delta_1)) \mid \mathfrak{p} \supseteq (X_1, X_5, X_2, X_4)\}.$$

Choose  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(R/I) \setminus \{(X_1, \dots, X_6)\}$  arbitrarily. We have to show  $H_{IR_{\mathfrak{p}}}^3(R_{\mathfrak{p}}) = 0$ . From our above calculations we know there is an  $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$  with  $\mathfrak{p} \notin \text{Sing}(R/(\Delta_i))$ . Thus  $(R/(\Delta_i))_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is factorial. Combining this with the fact that  $I/(\Delta_i)$  is a prime ideal of height one, we conclude the ideal  $IR_{\mathfrak{p}}/(\Delta_i)R_{\mathfrak{p}} \subseteq R_{\mathfrak{p}}/(\Delta_i)R_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is principal. This finally shows

$$0 = H_{IR_{\mathfrak{p}}/(\Delta_i)R_{\mathfrak{p}}}^2(H_{(\Delta_i)R_{\mathfrak{p}}}^1(R_{\mathfrak{p}})) = H_{IR_{\mathfrak{p}}}^3(R_{\mathfrak{p}}).$$

(b) We may assume that  $R$  is complete, because if the statement is proved in the complete case, then the formula

$$\text{Ass}_R(H_I^3(R)) = \bigcup_{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass}_R(H_I^3(R))} \text{Ass}_{\hat{R}}(\hat{R}/\mathfrak{p}\hat{R})$$

(cf. [10, Theorem 23.2(ii)]) implies finiteness of  $\text{Ass}_R(H_I^3(R))$  (each  $\text{Ass}_{\hat{R}}(\hat{R}/\mathfrak{p}\hat{R})$  contains a  $\mathfrak{q}$  with  $\mathfrak{q} \cap R = \mathfrak{p}$ ).

Let  $k \subseteq R$  be a field, let  $k[[X_1, \dots, X_6]]$  be a power series ring in six variables and let  $\Delta_1, \Delta_2, \Delta_3 \in k[[X_1, \dots, X_6]]$  (like in (a)) be the  $2 \times 2$ -minors of

$$\begin{pmatrix} X_1 & X_2 & X_3 \\ X_4 & X_5 & X_6 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The flat  $k$ -algebra homomorphism

$$k[[X_1, \dots, X_6]] \rightarrow R$$

with  $X_i \mapsto x_i$  ( $i = 1, \dots, 6$ ) sends  $\Delta_j$  to  $\delta_j$  ( $j = 1, 2, 3$ ). This implies

$$H_I^3(R) = H_{(\Delta_1, \Delta_2, \Delta_3)}^3(R) = H_{(\Delta_1, \Delta_2, \Delta_3)}^3(k[[X_1, \dots, X_6]]) \otimes_{k[[X_1, \dots, X_6]]} R$$

and we conclude

$$\text{Ass}_R(H_I^3(R)) \subseteq \text{Ass}_R(R/(X_1, \dots, X_6)R),$$

from [10, Theorem 23.2(ii)], which finally proves (b).

**THEOREM 8.** (a) *Let  $p$  be a prime number, let  $C$  be a complete  $p$ -ring, let  $R = C[[X_1, \dots, X_6]]$  be a power series ring in six variables, and set  $\Delta_1 := X_2X_6 - X_3X_5$ ,  $\Delta_2 := X_1X_6 - X_3X_4$ ,  $\Delta_3 := X_1X_5 - X_2X_4$  (these are the  $2 \times 2$ -minors of the matrix*

$$\begin{pmatrix} X_1 & X_2 & X_3 \\ X_4 & X_5 & X_6 \end{pmatrix},$$

*and let  $I$  be the ideal  $(\Delta_1, \Delta_2, \Delta_3) \subseteq R$ . Then  $\text{Supp}_R(H_I^3(R)) \subseteq \mathcal{V}((X_1, \dots, X_6))$  and consequently  $\text{Ass}_R(H_I^3(R))$  is finite.*

(b) *Let  $p$  be a prime number, let  $(R, \mathfrak{m})$  be a local Cohen–Macaulay ring satisfying  $\text{char}(R) = 0$ ,  $\text{char}(R/\mathfrak{m}) = p$ , and  $x_1, \dots, x_6 \in R$  with the property that  $p, x_1, \dots, x_6 \in R$  is a regular sequence. Set  $\delta_1 := x_2x_6 - x_3x_5$ ,  $\delta_2 := x_1x_6 - x_3x_4$ ,  $\delta_3 := x_1x_5 - x_2x_4$  and let  $I$  be the ideal  $(\delta_1, \delta_2, \delta_3) \subseteq R$ . Then  $\text{Ass}_R(H_I^3(R))$  is finite.*

*Proof.* (a) The proof is practically the same as the proof of Theorem 7(a).

(b) Like in the proof of Theorem 7(b), we may assume that  $R$  is complete. According to [10, Theorem 29.3]  $R$  has a coefficient ring  $C \subseteq R$ .

Let  $C[[X_1, \dots, X_6]]$  be a power series ring in six variables and let  $\Delta_1, \Delta_2, \Delta_3 \in C[[X_1, \dots, X_6]]$  (like in (a)) be the  $2 \times 2$ -minors of

$$\begin{pmatrix} X_1 & X_2 & X_3 \\ X_4 & X_5 & X_6 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The rest of the proof may be copied from the proof of Theorem 7(b) until one finally gets

$$\text{Ass}_R(H_I^3(R)) \subseteq \text{Ass}_R(R/(X_1, \dots, X_6)R) \cup \text{Ass}_R(R/(p, X_1, \dots, X_6)R),$$

which proves (b).

## REFERENCES

1. M. P. Brodmann and A. Lashgari Faghani, A finiteness result for associated primes of local cohomology modules, preprint, 1998.
2. R. M. Fossum, "The Divisor Class Group of a Krull Domain," Springer-Verlag, Berlin/New York, 1973.
3. A. Grothendieck, Cohomologie locale des faisceaux cohérents et théorèmes de Lefschetz locaux et globaux, *S.G.A.* **2** (1968).
4. R. Hartshorne, Affine duality and cofiniteness, *Invent. Math.* **9** (1970), 145–164.
5. C. Huneke and J. Koh, Cofiniteness and vanishing of local cohomology modules, *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.* **110** (1991), 421–429.
6. C. Huneke and R. Sharp, Bass numbers of local cohomology modules, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **339** (1993).
7. C. Huneke, Problems on local cohomology, *Res. Notes Math.* **2** (1992).
8. G. Lyubeznik, Finiteness properties of local cohomology modules (an application of  $D$ -modules to commutative algebra), *Invent. Math.* **113** (1993).
9. G. Lyubeznik,  $F$ -modules: Applications to local cohomology and  $D$ -modules in characteristic  $p > 0$ , preprint.
10. H. Matsumura, "Commutative Ring Theory," Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, UK, 1986.
11. A. Singh,  $p$ -torsion elements in local cohomology modules, preprint, 1999.
12. C. A. Weibel, "An Introduction to Homological Algebra," Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, UK, 1994.